

How to increase effectiveness of the EU regulatory medical device evaluation system?

Priorities for further reform?

Group 6

ESC Cardiovascular Round Table - 18 April 2024

Problem

- **Europe can't be a follower, we need to lead!**
 - FDA first strategy by startups, SMEs and larger companies
 - European investigators aren't part of major studies anymore
 - Question of historical research center move from Europe
- **NB are a business**
- **Opacity & reproducibility of process and outcomes**

Questions

- **What can we change?**

Solutions

- **Overarching**
 - Process is too scattered with NB – we need 1 reference point
- **Short term**
- **Mid term**
- **Long term**

Short term solutions

- **Dialogue (e.g. Breakthrough designation in US)**
- **Mandate a time-line for processing application**
- **Prioritize**
 - Devices already approved by FDA, Japan, etc.
 - Define what submissions to prioritize (ESC, expert panels)

Mid term solutions

- **Clear criteria for evaluation**
- **Early consultation with Expert Panels**
- **Allow for iteration (expedited process if core is unchanged)**
- **Solutions for Orphan Medical Devices**
- **Process for expedited review for specific devices**
- **Regulations on cost of certification - NB**
- **Mandate an open, reproducible process**
- **Involvement in MDCG**
- **Regular dialogue with EMA**

Long term solutions

- **Data**
 - Harmonized datasets
 - High-quality registries
 - Make them mandatory!